Mortality Risk of Antipsychotic Augmentation for Adult Depression

Tobias Gerhard, T. Scott Stroup, Christoph U. Correll, Soko Setoguchi, Brian L. Strom, Cecilia Huang, Zhiqiang Tan, Stephen Crystal, Mark Olfson

Importance: Randomized controlled trials have demonstrated increased all-cause mortality in elderly patients with dementia treated with newer antipsychotics. It is unknown whether this risk generalizes to non-elderly adults using newer antipsychotics as augmentation treatment for depression.

Objective: This study examined all-cause mortality risk of newer antipsychotic augmentation for adult depression.

Design: Population-based new-user/active comparator cohort study.

Setting: National healthcare claims data from the US Medicaid program from 2001–2010 linked to the National Death Index.

Participants: Non-elderly adults (25–64 years) diagnosed with depression who after \geq 3 months of antidepressant monotherapy initiated either augmentation with a newer antipsychotic or with a second antidepressant. Patients with alternative indications for antipsychotic medications, such as schizophrenia, psychotic depression, or bipolar disorder, were excluded.

Exposure: Augmentation treatment for depression with a newer antipsychotic or with a second antidepressant.

Main outcome: All-cause mortality during study follow-up ascertained from the National Death Index.

Results: The analytic cohort included 39,582 patients (female = 78.5%, mean age = 44.5 years) who initiated augmentation with a newer antipsychotic (n = 22,410; 40% = quetiapine, 21% = risperidone, 17% = aripiprazole, 16% = olanzapine) or with a second antidepressant (n = 17,172). The median chlorpromazine equivalent starting dose for all newer antipsychotics was 68mg/d, increasing to 100 mg/d during follow-up. Altogether, 153 patients died during 13,328 person-years of follow-up (newer antipsychotic augmentation: n = 105, follow-up = 7,601 person-years, mortality rate = 138.1/10,000 personyears; antidepressant augmentation: n = 48, follow-up = 5,727 person-years, mortality rate = 83.8/10,000 personyears). An adjusted hazard ratio of 1.45 (95% confidence interval, 1.02 to 2.06) indicated increased allcause mortality risk for newer antipsychotic augmentation compared to antidepressant augmentation (risk difference = 37.7 (95%Cl, 1.7 to 88.8) per 10,000 person-years). Results were robust across several sensitivity analyses.

Conclusion: Augmentation with newer antipsychotics in non-elderly patients with depression was associated with increased mortality risk compared with adding a second antidepressant. Though these findings require replication and cannot prove causality, physicians managing adults with depression should be aware of this potential for increased mortality associated with newer antipsychotic augmentation.

Focus 2021; 19:86–94; doi: 10.1176/appi.focus.19101 (Reprinted with permission from PLOS ONE 2020)

Introduction

Depressive disorders are a leading cause of disability and emotional, physical, and economic burden (1). Antidepressants are the first-line pharmacological treatment option for major depressive disorder, but inadequate response is common, with more than half of patients not achieving remission from their first antidepressant trial (2). Clinical approaches to incomplete response include switching to another antidepressant followed by various augmentation strategies (3, 4). Although not widely supported by treatment guidelines, augmentation with concomitant antidepressants is common in US practice⁴ and has some empirical support (5–8). Augmentation with newer antipsychotics was at the time of the study the only treatment option approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (aripiprazole, quetiapine, olanzapine/fluoxetine). Randomized controlled trials of augmentation with newer antipsychotics have demonstrated efficacy in reducing observer-rated depressive symptoms (9, 10), but have been criticized for their methodology and lack of demonstration of benefit on quality of life and functional outcomes (11, 12).

Further, newer antipsychotics have well characterized serious adverse effects, including rapid weight gain, diabetes, and tardive dyskinesia (13, 14). Newer antipsychotics have also been associated with increased mortality, most notably a >50% increased mortality risk in older adults with

dementia (15, 16). However, due to the restricted inclusion criteria and limited sample sizes of RCTs for newer antipsychotic augmentation (11, 17–19), these trials are uninformative with regard to a potential mortality risk for augmentation with newer antipsychotics for depression in clinical practice.

The safety of newer antipsychotic augmentation for depression should be viewed in the context of limited therapeutic benefits (11, 18) and widespread clinical use (20–23). In the US, approximately 2 million office-based medical visits per year for depression include prescriptions for newer antipsychotics (20). Furthermore, most patients who initiate newer antipsychotic treatment for nonpsychotic depression either do not have a prior adequate trial with antidepressants or have initiated the newer antipsychotic without a concomitant antidepressant (21). These prescribing practices raise concern that newer antipsychotic initiation for depression may sometimes be premature or clinically inappropriate.

The uncertain safety profile of augmentation with newer antipsychotics for depression complicates clinical decisions concerning their appropriate role in treatment for patients who do not have an adequate response to antidepressant monotherapy. This study aimed to estimate the real-world mortality risk of newer antipsychotics in non-elderly adults with depression and an incomplete response to antidepressant monotherapy.

Methods

Data source and study cohort. The study cohort was assembled from the Medicaid Analytic eXtract (MAX) for 45 states from 2001–2010 (24). Data from Arizona, Delaware, Nevada, Oregon, and Rhode Island were not available. MAX data include information on diagnoses of all paid inpatient, emergency, and outpatient services using standard ICD codes, as recorded by the treating provider and records of all paid claims for dispensed medications, including National Drug Codes, dispensing dates, and days and quantity of medications supplied (25). Date and cause of death were identified by linkage to the National Death Index (26). The study was approved by the Rutgers University Institutional Review Board.

The study compared all-cause and select cause-specific mortality between non-elderly adult (25–64 years) patients with depression who, after a 90-day period of stable antidepressant treatment, either initiated augmentation with a newer antipsychotic or with a second antidepressant (Fig 2). Initiation of augmentation treatment defined the index date and the beginning of follow-up. Eligibility criteria were assessed during the 180 days immediately preceding the index date. All cohort patients had uninterrupted Medicaid coverage during the 180-day pre-index period, \geq 1 first listed inpatient or outpatient diagnosis of depression during the first 90 days of the pre-index period (ICD-9-CM 296.2, 296.3, 300.4, 311), and no break of >7 days in medication supply with a single antidepressant medication during the 90 days directly preceding the index date. For patients initiating a second antidepressant, we required that the initial antidepressant was refilled on the same day to maximize the likelihood that the clinical intent was to initiate augmentation with a second antidepressant rather than to switch between antidepressants.

Patients with alternative indications for newer antipsychotics including psychotic depression, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, autism-spectrum disorders, or dementia were excluded. Patients were also excluded if they had any antipsychotic medication use (including older antipsychotics) during the baseline period, used more than one antidepressant medication during the 60 days preceding their index date, initiated a second antidepressant and an newer antipsychotic on their index date, or were diagnosed with a life threatening disorder (16).

Exposure and follow-up time. All newer antipsychotics available in the U.S. during the study period, except clozapine, were included in the analysis (asenapine, aripiprazole, iloperidone, olanzapine, paliperidone, quetiapine, risperidone, ziprasidone). Although only some of these newer antipsychotics are FDA-approved for the adjunctive treatment of depression, all except for clozapine are used clinically for this indication (20, 21). Antidepressants included selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), atypical antidepressants, and other antidepressants (see eTable 1, S1 Appendix for a complete list of antidepressants). Each day of follow-up was classified according to probable use of each antidepressant and newer antipsychotic. Patients were classified as discontinuing their augmentation treatment when the index drug was not refilled for >14 days after the end of medication supply to account for late refills and stockpiling. To examine dose response for all newer antipsychotics combined, doses were classified in chlorpromazine equivalents (27).

Outcomes. The primary outcome was death that occurred during study follow-up. In addition to all-cause mortality, we separately examined natural and unnatural deaths, as well as non-cancer deaths (eTable 2, S1 Appendix). Unnatural deaths were defined as those caused by external causes, and included unintentional, suicide, homicide, undetermined intent, and other injuries. Date and cause of death were identified by linkage to the National Death Index. All-cause mortality data from the National Death Index have demonstrated 100% specificity with sensitivity between 98% and 100% (26, 28).

Confounding variables. We measured a comprehensive list of known and potential risk factors for mortality including socio-demographics, diagnostic history, medication history, health care utilization history, and geography (eTable 3, S1 Appendix). Confounding variables were measured during the 180-day period immediately preceding the index date.

Statistical analysis. We first compared baseline characteristics among initiators of each augmentation strategy. Propensity score methods, specifically inverse probability of treatment weighting, were used to control for measured confounding (29, 30). The propensity score, the predicted probability of initiating augmentation with an newer antipsychotic versus a second antidepressant, was calculated using a logistic regression model including all variables in eTable 3 (S1 Appendix). Propensity score distributions were trimmed at the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of the exposed and unexposed groups, respectively, to reduce potential bias from unmeasured confounding by excluding patients who were treated contrary to strong prediction (31). This approach was pre-specified in the study protocol and was based on a concern that treatment choice contrary to strong prediction likely stems from unmeasured confounding factors (S2 Appendix). Covariate balance was compared using standardized differences for all baseline covariates between treatment groups in the initial study cohort and after inverse probability of treatment weighting in the propensity score trimmed analytic cohort.

Cox proportional hazards regressions were fit to model the effect of alternative augmentation strategies on all-cause and cause-specific mortality. Models were fit without adjustment; adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity and index year; and adjusted via inverse probability of treatment weighting with robust variance estimation (32). Follow-up began from the day after augmentation initiation and was censored at date of death, discontinuation of augmentation therapy, loss of Medicaid eligibility, or 365 days after the index date, whichever came first. Fourteen days were added to the last day of follow-up for patients who discontinued either augmentation therapy to reduce potential bias from informative censoring if patients discontinued the drug because of adverse effects experienced shortly before death. Follow-up was capped at 365 days because by this point a great majority of patients were expected to have discontinued index treatment.

Analyses were stratified by age group (25 to 54 years vs. 55 to 64 years) and sex. In addition, we performed individual comparisons between antidepressant augmentation and the most commonly used newer antipsychotics and explored dose-response based on the initial dose of the newer antipsychotic.

Lastly, we approximated the absolute difference in the incidence of death between patients in both groups. The adjusted rate difference was approximated as I_{AD} *(aHR-1) with aHR as the estimated adjusted hazard ratio and I_{AD} as the unadjusted incidence rate for patients in the antidepressant augmentation cohort with corresponding 95% CIs (33).

Sensitivity analyses. We performed a series of sensitivity analyses to examine the robustness of our results to changes

in design or assumptions: (1) Alternate follow-up specifications (180 days, all available days of follow-up) and intent-totreat analyses (no censoring of patients for changes in the augmentation treatments) (2). Addition of discontinuation of the initial antidepressant to the study's censoring criteria (3). Exclusion of all patients with mood stabilizer use during the baseline period (lithium, carbamazepine, lamotrigine, valproic acid/valproate, divalproex, acetazolamide, felbamate, gabapentin, lacosamide, levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine, pregabalin, topiramate, zonisamide). Although mood stabilizers are sometimes used to treat unipolar depression (34), their use may indicate undiagnosed bipolar symptoms (4). Limiting the study period to augmentation episodes initiated after January 1, 2007, as prescribing practices may have changed following FDA approval of newer antipsychotic augmentation in 2007 (5). Alternative propensity score approaches, including adjustment for propensity score deciles and 1:1 propensity score matching (35), and analysis of the untrimmed cohort (30). To empirically evaluate the rationale for propensity score trimming, we performed a *post-hoc* analysis stratified across the distribution of the propensity score. To provide perspective regarding the robustness of the study results to unmeasured confounding, we estimated the strength of the residual confounding required to fully explain the observed associations for all-cause mortality if in fact no association existed (36).

All analyses were performed with SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). All *P*-values were 2-sided with a *P* value < .05 indicating statistical significance. The study used de-identified data and was approved with a waiver of informed consent by the Rutgers Institutional Review Board.

Results

The initial study cohort included 44,301 patients: 25,172 initiators of antipsychotic augmentation and 19,129 initiators of augmentation with a second antidepressant (Fig 1). Baseline antidepressants were SSRIs (56%), atypical antidepressants (20%), SNRIs (18%), and other antidepressants (6%), with minimal differences between treatment cohorts (eTable 1, S1 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239206.g001 Appendix). In the antipsychotic augmentation cohort, quetiapine was the most commonly prescribed newer antipsychotic (40%), followed by risperidone (21%), aripiprazole (17%), and olanzapine (16%) (eTable 4, S1 Appendix). The remaining newer antipsychotics together made up the remaining 6%. The median chlorpromazine equivalent starting daily dose for all newer antipsychotics combined was 68 mg. During follow-up, the median combined chlorpromazine equivalent dose increased to 100 mg. Starting and final doses for commonly used individual antipsychotics (actual mg as well as mg chlorpromazine equivalents) are shown in eTable 4 (S1 Appendix). Add-on antidepressants in the comparator cohort were most commonly atypical antidepressants (59%), followed by SSRIs

FIGURE 1. Flowchart of study cohort



AD, antidepressant; APM, antipsychotic medication.

(21%), other antidepressants (11%), and SNRIs (9%; eTable 1, S1 Appendix).

Patients in the initial cohort were on average 44 years old, predominantly female (78%), non-Hispanic white (70%), and eligible for Medicaid due to disability (64%). The most prevalent comorbid baseline diagnoses were anxiety (25%), hypertension (23%), hyperlipidemia (15%), and diabetes (14%) (Table 1 and eTable 3, S1 Appendix). Over the 180-day baseline period, study patients averaged 9.2 outpatient visits for depression and 15.5 non-mental health outpatient visits. Seventy-five percent had prescription claims for psychotropic drugs other than antidepressants or antipsychotics, most commonly anxiolytics/hypnotics (64%) and mood stabilizers (32%). The two treatment groups were generally comparable in their baseline characteristics but showed meaningful differences as indicated by standardized differences of >10% for several potential confounding variables (Table 1 and eTable 3, S1 Appendix). After propensity score trimming and inverse probability of treatment weighting, group differences were markedly diminished (Table 1). The resulting analytic study cohort included 39,582 patients (78.5% female, mean age 44.5 years), 22,410 initiators of augmentation with a newer antipsychotic, and 17,172 initiators of a second antidepressant (Fig 1). Characteristics of individuals excluded from the analytic cohort due to propensity score trimming are shown in eTable 3 (S1 Appendix).

In the analytic cohort, initiators of newer antipsychotics had 105 deaths during 7,601 personyears of follow-up (138.1 per 10,000 person-years) (Table 2). Initiators of antidepressant augmentation had 48 deaths during 5,727 person-years of follow-up (83.8 per 10,000 person-years). Discontinuation of the index treatment was the most common reason for censoring (82.6%), followed by day 365 (10.2%), end of the study period (3.4%), loss of Medicaid eligibility (3.3%), and occurrence of the outcome event (0.4%). There were minimal group differences in reasons for censoring. The adjusted hazard ratio for all-cause mortality comparing newer antipsychotic to antidepressant augmentation was 1.45 (95% confidence interval (CI), 1.02-2.06), with a risk difference of 37.7 (95%CI, 1.7-88.8) per 10,000 person-years. The adjusted Kaplan-Meier plot is shown in eFig 1 (S1 Appendix). No dose-response effect was apparent (eTable 5, S1 Appendix). Estimates were consistent when the endpoint was restricted to natural death (HR = 1.58, 95%CI 1.02-2.45) or non-cancer death (HR = 1.65, 95%CI 1.05-2.60). Risk for unnatural death showed a modest numerical increase but confidence intervals were consistent with both harmful and protective effects (HR = 1.21, 95%CI 0.63-2.34).

Stratified analyses. Analyses stratified by age group and sex and for individual newer antipsychotics are shown in Table 3. Newer antipsychotics were associated with a mortality risk of HR = 1.61 (95%CI 0.92–2.80) in older adult

TABLE 1. Selected baseline characteristics for new initiators of study augmentation regimens

Newer Newer Antigspectration Newer		Initial Study Cohort N = $44,301$			Analytic Cohort ^a N = $39,582$			
% % Std Dif. % % % Std Dif. Female sex Age, years (mean) 44.2 44.4 0.22 44.4 0.80 0.30 69.2 68.9 0.01 Bace/Ethnicity 70.3 68.9 0.30 69.2 68.9 0.07 Black, non-Hispanic 9.1 8.1 0.38 8.7 8.8 0.007 Black, non-Hispanic 9.1 8.1 0.38 8.7 8.8 0.01 Medicaid Eligibility 67.6 59.4 1.70 63.6 64.0 0.09 Low income 20.9 26.4 1.32 2.35 2.31 0.09 Diagnotic History, past 1180 49.2 1.42 0.77 12.9 12.9 0.01 Diagnotic History, past 142 1.53 0.25 13.8 13.7 0.01 Hypertension 23.0 2.37 0.17 2.3 2.3 0.02 Hold adys 4.8 4.8 0.00 <td< th=""><th></th><th>Newer Antipsychotic n = 25,172</th><th>Antidepressant n = 19,129</th><th></th><th>Newer Antipsychotic n = 22,410</th><th>Antidepressant n = 17,172</th><th></th></td<>		Newer Antipsychotic n = 25,172	Antidepressant n = 19,129		Newer Antipsychotic n = 22,410	Antidepressant n = 17,172		
Female sex 76.0 80.7 114 78.5 78.5 0.02 Age, years (mean) 44.2 44.4 0.02 44.4 44.5 0.03 White, non-Hispanic 70.3 68.9 0.30 69.2 68.9 0.07 Black, non-Hispanic 9.1 8.1 0.38 8.7 8.8 0.01 Hispanic 9.8 10.7 0.29 10.5 10.6 0.03 Medicaid Eligibility 0.76 59.4 1.70 63.6 64.0 0.09 Low income 20.9 26.4 1.52 25.5 25.1 0.01 Diagnostic History, past 180 43/2 0.07 12.9 12.9 0.01 Diagnostic History, past 180 43/2 0.07 12.9 12.9 0.01 Diagnostic History, past 18.1 1.51 0.02 1.51 0.01 Diagnostic History, past 18.2 2.5 0.22 15.2 0.03 Hypertension		%	%	Std.Dif.	%	%	Std.Dif.	
Age, years (mean) 44.2 44.4 0.02 44.4 44.5 0.013 Race/Ethnicity	Female sex	76.0	80.7	.114	78.5	78.5	.002	
White, non-Hispanic 70.3 68.9 0.30 69.2 68.9 0.07 Hispanic 9.1 8.1 0.7 0.29 10.5 10.6 0.033 Other 10.8 12.4 0.48 11.6 11.8 0.071 Medical Eligibility 57.6 59.4 1.70 63.6 64.0 0.099 Other, non-Hispanic 20.9 2.6.4 1.32 2.3.5 2.5.1 0.099 Other, non-Mispanic 11.6 14.2 0.77 12.9 12.9 0.01 Diagnostic History, past 130 49.5 2.5 2.5.3 0.05 Substance use disorder 7.8 6.2 0.66 6.8 6.7 0.04 Hypertipidemia 14.7 15.5 0.22 15.2 0.03 1.4 1.03 0.03 Hypertipidernia 2.8 2.5 0.17 2.3 2.3.4 0.01 Lischemic heart disease 3.2 2.5 0.42 2.8 2.7	Age, years (mean) Race/Ethnicity	44.2	44.4	.022	44.4	44.5	.013	
Black, non-Hispanic 9.1 8.1 0.38 8.7 8.8 0.01 Hispanic 10.8 12.4 .048 11.6 11.8 .003 Other 10.8 12.4 .048 11.6 11.8 .007 Medicaid Eligibility 67.6 59.4 .170 63.6 64.0 .009 Low income 20.9 26.4 .132 23.5 .25.1 .009 Other/unknown 11.6 14.2 .077 12.9 .001 .001 Diagnostic History, past	White, non-Hispanic	70.3	68.9	.030	69.2	68.9	.007	
Hispanic 9.8 10.7 0.29 10.5 10.6 0.035 Other 10.8 12.4 0.48 11.6 11.8 007 Medicaid Eligibility Diability 67.6 59.4 170 63.6 64.0 0.099 Other/unknown 11.6 14.2 0.077 12.9 12.9 0.01 Diagnostic History, past 1180 days .	Black, non-Hispanic	9.1	8.1	.038	8.7	8.8	.001	
Other 10.8 12.4 .048 11.6 11.8 .007 Medicaid Eligibility 67.6 59.4 .170 63.6 64.0 .009 Low income 20.9 26.4 .132 23.5 23.1 .009 Other/unknown 11.6 14.2 .077 12.9 12.9 .001 Diagnostic History, past . . .	Hispanic	9.8	10.7	.029	10.5	10.6	.003	
Medical Eligibility Orability 67.6 59.4 1.70 63.6 64.0 0.099 Diay income 20.9 26.4 1.32 23.5 23.1 0.099 Other/unknown 11.6 14.2 0.77 12.9 12.9 0.01 Diagnostic History, past	Other	10.8	12.4	.048	11.6	11.8	.007	
Disability 67.6 59.4 170 63.6 64.0 0.099 Low income 20.9 26.4 132 23.5 23.1 0.099 Diagnostic History, past 116 14.2 0.077 12.9 12.9 0.01 Diagnostic History, past 180 days - - - - - - 0.01 Diabetes 14.2 13.3 0.025 13.8 13.7 0.01 Diabetes 14.2 13.3 0.025 13.8 13.7 0.01 Anziety 26.8 2.3.7 0.017 23.3 23.4 0.01 Hypertension 23.0 23.7 0.17 23.3 23.4 0.01 Ischemic heart disease 4.8 4.8 0.000 4.9 4.8 0.002 Cardiac dysrhythmias 2.8 2.5 0.17 2.7 2.6 0.04 Heart faiture 2.0 1.7 0.20 1.9 1.9 0.01	Medicaid Eligibility							
Low income 20.9 26.4 132 23.5 23.1 009 Other/unknown 11.6 14.2 .077 12.9 12.9 0.01 Diagnostic History, past 180 days	Disability	67.6	59.4	.170	63.6	64.0	.009	
Other/unknown 11.6 14.2 .077 12.9 12.9 .001 Diagnostic History, past 180 days	Low income	20.9	26.4	.132	23.5	23.1	.009	
Diagnostic History, past 180 days Anxiety 26.8 23.3 079 25.2 25.3 005 Substance use disorder 7.8 6.2 0.66 6.8 6.7 .004 Diabetes 14.2 13.5 0.22 15.2 .003 Anemia 5.2 4.9 0.14 5.1 .003 Hypertipidemia 14.7 15.5 0.22 15.2 .003 Hypertension 23.0 2.3.7 .017 23.3 23.4 .001 Ischemic heart disease 4.8 4.8 .000 4.9 4.8 .002 Cardiac dyshythmias 2.8 2.5 .017 .27 .2.6 .004 Heart failure 2.0 1.7 .020 1.9 1.9 .001 Cardiac dyshythmias 2.8 2.5 .042 2.8 2.7 .005 Medication History, past 180 .042 .2.8 .2.7 .005 Metabolic and related .001 <t< td=""><td>Other/unknown</td><td>11.6</td><td>14.2</td><td>.077</td><td>12.9</td><td>12.9</td><td>.001</td></t<>	Other/unknown	11.6	14.2	.077	12.9	12.9	.001	
Anxiety 26.8 23.3 0.79 25.2 25.3 .005 Substance use disorder 7.8 6.2 0.66 6.8 6.7 .004 Diabetes 14.2 13.3 0.25 13.8 13.7 .001 Hypertipidemia 14.7 15.5 0.22 15.2 .15.2 .003 Anemia 5.2 4.9 0.14 5.1 .51 .003 Hypertension 23.0 23.7 0.17 23.3 23.4 .001 Ischemic heart disease 4.8 4.8 .000 4.9 4.8 .002 Cardiac dyshythmias 2.8 2.5 .017 2.7 2.6 .004 Heart failure 2.0 1.7 .020 1.9 .19 .001 Cerebrovascular disease 3.2 2.5 .042 2.8 2.7 .005 Medication 79.4 70.3 .210 76.8 .77.0 .004 Mood Stabilizer <	Diagnostic History, past 180 days							
Substance use disorder 7.8 6.2 0.66 6.8 6.7 .004 Diabetes 14.2 13.3 0.25 13.8 13.7 .001 Hyperlipidemia 14.7 15.5 0.22 15.2 15.2 .003 Anemia 5.2 4.9 0.14 5.1 5.1 .001 Hypertension 23.0 23.7 .017 23.3 23.4 .001 Ischemic heart disease 4.8 4.8 .000 4.9 4.8 .002 Cardiac dyshythmias 2.8 2.5 .017 2.7 2.6 .004 Heat failure 2.0 1.7 .020 1.9 1.9 .001 Carebrovascular disease 3.2 2.5 .042 2.8 2.7 .005 Medication History, past 180 days	Anxiety	26.8	23.3	.079	25.2	25.3	.005	
Diabetes 14.2 13.3 0.25 13.8 13.7 .001 Hyperlipidemia 14.7 15.5 0.22 15.2 15.2 .003 Anemia 5.2 4.9 0.14 5.1 5.1 0.03 Hypertension 23.0 23.7 0.17 23.3 23.4 .001 Ischemic heart disease 4.8 4.8 0.00 4.9 4.8 .002 Cardiac dyshythmias 2.8 2.5 0.17 2.0 1.9 1.9 .001 Cerebrovascular disease 3.2 2.5 0.42 2.8 2.7 .005 Medication History, past 180 days - - - .001 Psychotropic medication 79.4 70.3 .210 76.8 77.0 .004 Mood Stabilizer 36.9 .25.0 .261 30.7 .001 .001 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .007 .004	Substance use disorder	7.8	6.2	.066	6.8	6.7	.004	
Hyperlipidemia 14.7 15.5 0.22 15.2 15.2 0.03 Anemia 5.2 4.9 0.014 5.1 5.0 0.03 Hypertension 23.0 23.7 0.17 23.3 23.4 0.001 Ischemic heart disease 4.8 4.8 0.00 4.9 4.8 0.002 Cardiac dysrhythmias 2.8 2.5 0.17 2.7 2.6 0.04 Heart failure 2.0 1.7 0.20 1.9 1.9 0.01 Cerebrovascular disease 3.2 2.5 0.42 2.8 2.7 0.05 Medication History, past 180 days 7.0 .044 Mood Stabilizer 36.9 25.0 .261 30.7 30.7 .001 Anxiolytic/hypnotics 67.1 60.7 1.32 65.5 65.8 .006 Metabolic and related 30.1 28.2 .042 29.3 29.6 .007 Cardiovascular medication 40.1 39.8 <td>Diabetes</td> <td>14.2</td> <td>13.3</td> <td>.025</td> <td>13.8</td> <td>13.7</td> <td>.001</td>	Diabetes	14.2	13.3	.025	13.8	13.7	.001	
Anemia 5.2 4.9 .014 5.1 5.1 .003 Hypertension 23.0 23.7 .017 23.3 23.4 .001 Ischemic heart disease 4.8 4.8 .000 4.9 4.8 .002 Cardiac dysrhythmias 2.8 2.5 .017 2.7 2.6 .004 Heart failure 2.0 1.7 .020 1.9 1.9 .001 Cerebrovascular disease 3.2 2.5 .042 2.8 2.7 .005 Medication History, past 180 days	Hyperlipidemia	14.7	15.5	.022	15.2	15.2	.003	
Hypertension 23.0 23.7 .017 23.3 23.4 .001 Ischemic heart disease 4.8 4.8 .000 4.9 4.8 .002 Cardiac dysrhythmias 2.8 2.5 .017 2.7 2.6 .004 Heart failure 2.0 1.7 .020 1.9 1.9 .001 Cerebrovascular disease 3.2 2.5 .042 2.8 2.7 .005 Medication History, past 180 days - 7.0 .004 .004 .001 .004 Mood Stabilizer 36.9 .25.0 .261 .30.7 .30.7 .001 Anxiolytic/hypnotics 67.1 60.7 .132 65.5 .65.8 .006 Metabolic and related 30.1 28.2 .042 29.3 29.6 .007 medication 40.1 39.8 .006 40.2 40.2 .002 Respiratory/allergy 54.1 52.1 .040 53.2 53.5	Anemia	5.2	4.9	.014	5.1	5.1	.003	
Jackmenic heart disease 4.8 4.8 0.00 4.9 4.8 0.02 Cardiac dysrhythmias 2.8 2.5 0.17 2.7 2.6 0.04 Heart failure 2.0 1.7 0.20 1.9 1.9 0.01 Cerebrovascular disease 3.2 2.5 0.42 2.8 2.7 0.05 Medication History, past 180 days 70.3 2.10 76.8 77.0 0.004 Mood Stabilizer 36.9 25.0 .261 30.7 30.7 .001 Anxiolytic/hypnotics 67.1 60.7 .132 65.5 65.8 .006 Metabolic and related 30.1 28.2 .042 29.3 29.6 .007 medication - - - - - - .002 Respiratory/allergy 54.1 52.1 .040 53.2 53.5 .006 medication 13.4 12.5 .024 13.1 .004 .044	Hypertension	23.0	23.7	.017	23.3	23.4	.001	
Cardiac dysrhythmias 2.8 2.5 .017 2.7 2.6 .004 Heart failure 2.0 1.7 .020 1.9 1.9 .001 Cerebrovascular disease 3.2 2.5 .042 2.8 2.7 .005 Medication History, past	Ischemic heart disease	4.8	4.8	.000	4.9	4.8	.002	
Heart failure 2.0 1.7 0.020 1.9 1.9 0.01 Cerebrovascular disease 3.2 2.5 0.042 2.8 2.7 0.05 Medication History, past 180 days 70.3 210 76.8 77.0 0.04 Psychotropic medication 79.4 70.3 210 76.8 77.0 0.04 Mood Stabilizer 36.9 25.0 .261 30.7 30.7 .001 Anxiolytic/hypnotics 67.1 60.7 .152 65.5 65.8 .006 Mediation 70.1 28.2 .042 29.3 29.6 .007 medication 40.1 39.8 .006 40.2 40.2 .002 Respiratory/allergy 54.1 52.1 .040 53.2 53.5 .006 medication 13.4 12.5 .024 13.1 13.1 .000 Hyperlipidemia medication 23.2 22.0 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 <td>Cardiac dysrhythmias</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>.017</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>.004</td>	Cardiac dysrhythmias	2.8	2.5	.017	2.7	2.6	.004	
Cerebrovascular disease 3.2 2.5 .042 2.8 2.7 .005 Medication History, past 180 days 180 days 77.0 .004 .004 .005 .004 .007 .001 .007 .001 .007 .001 .007 .001 .007 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 <t< td=""><td>Heart failure</td><td>2.0</td><td>1.7</td><td>.020</td><td>1.9</td><td>1.9</td><td>.001</td></t<>	Heart failure	2.0	1.7	.020	1.9	1.9	.001	
Medication History, past 180 days 180 days Psychotropic medication 79.4 70.3 210 76.8 77.0 .004 Mood Stabilizer 36.9 25.0 .261 30.7 30.7 .001 Anxiolytic/hypnotics 67.1 60.7 .132 65.5 65.8 .006 Metabolic and related 30.1 28.2 .042 29.3 29.6 .007 medication 40.1 39.8 .006 40.2 40.2 .002 Respiratory/allergy 54.1 52.1 .040 53.2 53.5 .006 medication 13.4 12.5 .024 13.1 13.1 .000 Hyperlipidemia medication 23.2 22.0 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 Acute services, past 180 days .006 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 Non-MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 .3.3 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8.5 8.4 .011 (mean)	Cerebrovascular disease	3.2	2.5	.042	2.8	2.7	.005	
180 days Psychotropic medication 79.4 70.3 .210 76.8 77.0 .004 Mood Stabilizer 36.9 25.0 .261 30.7 30.7 .001 Anxiolytic/hypnotics 67.1 60.7 .132 65.5 65.8 .006 Metabolic and related 30.1 28.2 .042 29.3 29.6 .007 medication 0 39.8 .006 40.2 40.2 .002 Respiratory/allergy 54.1 52.1 .040 53.2 53.5 .006 medication 0 13.4 12.5 .026 51.2 51.4 .004 Diabetic medication 13.4 12.5 .024 13.1 13.1 .000 Hyperlipidemia medication 23.2 22.0 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 Acute services, past 180 days 13.4 12.5 .047 7.9 7.5 .016 MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 .3.3 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 </td <td>Medication History, past</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Medication History, past							
Psychotropic medication 79.4 70.3 .210 76.8 77.0 .004 Mood Stabilizer 36.9 25.0 .261 30.7 30.7 .001 Anxiolytic/hypnotics 67.1 60.7 .132 65.5 65.8 .006 Metabolic and related 30.1 28.2 .042 29.3 29.6 .007 medication 40.1 39.8 .006 40.2 40.2 .002 Respiratory/allergy 54.1 52.1 .040 53.2 53.5 .006 medication 13.4 12.5 .024 13.1 13.1 .000 Hyperlipidemia medication 23.2 22.0 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 Acute services, past 180 days Non-MH hospitalization 8.5 7.2 .047 7.9 7.5 .016 MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 3.3 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8	180 days	- 0.4			76.0	0		
Mood Stabilizer 36.9 25.0 .261 30.7 30.7 .001 Anxiolytic/hypnotics 67.1 60.7 .132 65.5 65.8 .006 Metabolic and related 30.1 28.2 .042 29.3 29.6 .007 medication Cardiovascular medication 40.1 39.8 .006 40.2 40.2 .002 Respiratory/allergy 54.1 52.1 .040 53.2 53.5 .006 medication 13.4 12.5 .024 13.1 13.1 .000 Hyperlipidemia medication 23.2 22.0 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 Acute services, past 180 days Non-MH hospitalization 8.5 7.2 .047 7.9 7.5 .016 MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 .3.3 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8.5 8.4 .011 (mean) MH outpatient visits (mean) <	Psychotropic medication	/9.4	/0.3	.210	/6.8	//.0	.004	
Anxiolytic/hypnotics 67.1 60.7 .132 65.5 65.8 .006 Metabolic and related 30.1 28.2 .042 29.3 29.6 .007 medication	Mood Stabilizer	36.9	25.0	.261	30.7	30.7	.001	
Metabolic and related 30.1 28.2 .042 29.3 29.6 .007 medication 40.1 39.8 .006 40.2 40.2 .002 Respiratory/allergy 54.1 52.1 .040 53.2 53.5 .006 medication 6 52.0 50.7 .026 51.2 51.4 .004 Diabetic medication 13.4 12.5 .024 13.1 13.1 .000 Hyperlipidemia medication 23.2 22.0 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 Acute services, past 180 days 7.2 .047 7.9 7.5 .016 MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 3.3 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8.5 8.4 .011 (mean) MH outpatient visits 16.3 14.4 .104 14.8 14.8 .000	Anxiolytic/hypnotics	6/.1	60./	.132	65.5	65.8	.006	
Cardiovascular medication 40.1 39.8 .006 40.2 40.2 .002 Respiratory/allergy 54.1 52.1 .040 53.2 53.5 .006 medication 7 7.026 51.2 51.4 .004 Antibiotics 52.0 50.7 .026 51.2 51.4 .004 Diabetic medication 13.4 12.5 .024 13.1 13.1 .000 Hyperlipidemia medication 23.2 22.0 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 Acute services, past 180 days Non-MH hospitalization 8.5 7.2 .047 7.9 7.5 .016 MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 .33 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8.5 8.4 .011 (mean) Non-MH outpatient visits 16.3 14.4 .104 14.8 14.8 .000 (mean) MH outpatient visits (mean) 13.9 10.1 .199 10.5 10.3 .014	Metabolic and related	30.1	28.2	.042	29.3	29.6	.007	
Respiratory/allergy 54.1 59.0 10.2	Cardiovascular medication	40 1	<u>39 8</u>	006	40.2	40.2	002	
Antibiotics 52.0 50.7 .026 51.2 51.4 .004 Diabetic medication 13.4 12.5 .024 13.1 13.1 .000 Hyperlipidemia medication 23.2 22.0 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 Acute services, past 180 days	Respiratory/allergy	54.1	52.1	.040	53.2	53.5	.006	
Diabetic medication 13.4 12.5 .024 13.1 13.1 .000 Hyperlipidemia medication 23.2 22.0 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 Acute services, past 180 days Non-MH hospitalization 8.5 7.2 .047 7.9 7.5 .016 MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 3.3 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8.5 8.4 .011 (mean) MH outpatient visits 16.3 14.4 .104 14.8 14.8 .000 MH outpatient visits (mean) 13.9 10.1 .199 10.5 10.3 .014	Antibiotics	52.0	50.7	026	51.2	51.4	004	
Hyperlipidemia medication 23.2 22.0 .029 22.9 23.2 .007 Acute services, past 180 days Non-MH hospitalization 8.5 7.2 .047 7.9 7.5 .016 MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 3.3 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8.5 8.4 .011 (mean) Non-MH outpatient visits 16.3 14.4 .104 14.8 14.8 .000 MH outpatient visits (mean) 13.9 10.1 .199 10.5 10.3 .014	Diabetic medication	13.4	12 5	024	13.1	13.1	000	
Acute services, past 180 days Non-MH hospitalization 8.5 7.2 .047 7.9 7.5 .016 MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 3.3 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8.5 8.4 .011 (mean) Non-MH outpatient visits 16.3 14.4 .104 14.8 14.8 .000 MH outpatient visits (mean) 13.9 10.1 .199 10.5 10.3 .014	Hyperlipidemia medication	23.2	22.0	.029	22.9	23.2	.007	
Non-MH hospitalization 8.5 7.2 .047 7.9 7.5 .016 MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 3.3 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8.5 8.4 .011 (mean) Non-MH outpatient visits 16.3 14.4 .104 14.8 14.8 .000 MH outpatient visits (mean) 13.9 10.1 .199 10.5 10.3 .014	Acute services past 180 days							
MH hospital admission 5.3 2.9 .121 3.3 3.3 .000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8.5 8.4 .011 (mean) Non-MH outpatient visits 16.3 14.4 .104 14.8 14.8 .000 MH outpatient visits (mean) 13.9 10.1 .199 10.5 10.3 .014	Non-MH hospitalization	85	72	047	79	75	016	
Nin Hospital definision 5.5 1.5 1.121 5.5 5.5 1.000 OP visits for depression 10.1 8.0 .148 8.5 8.4 .011 (mean) Non-MH outpatient visits 16.3 14.4 .104 14.8 14.8 .000 (mean) MH outpatient visits (mean) 13.9 10.1 .199 10.5 10.3 .014	MH hospital admission	53	29	121	33	7.5 3 3	000	
(mean) 16.3 14.4 .104 14.8 14.8 .000 (mean) MH outpatient visits (mean) 13.9 10.1 .199 10.5 10.3 .014	OP visits for depression	10.1	8.0	148	85	8.4	.000	
Non-MH outpatient visits 16.3 14.4 .104 14.8 14.8 .000 (mean) MH outpatient visits (mean) 13.9 10.1 .199 10.5 10.3 .014	(mean)	10.1	0.0	.1.10	0.0	0.1	.011	
(mean) 10.1 199 10.5 10.3 .014	Non-MH outpatient visits	16.3	14.4	.104	14.8	14.8	.000	
MH outpatient visits (mean) 13.9 10.1 .199 10.5 10.3 .014	(mean)							
	MH outpatient visits (mean)	13.9	10.1	.199	10.5	10.3	.014	

^aAfter propensity score trimming and inverse probability of treatment (IPT) weighting; Newer Antipsychotic denotes the cohort initiating augmentation treatment with a newer antipsychotic; Antidepressant denotes the cohort initiating augmentation treatment with a second antidepressant medication; Std. Dif., standardized difference

patients and a mortality risk of HR = 1.36 (95%CI 0.86–2.13) in younger adult patients. Newer antipsychotics showed an association with increased mortality risk among women (HR = 1.72, 95%CI 1.13–2.63), but not among men (HR = 0.99, 95%CI 0.52–1.87).

augmentation with a second antidepressant, olanzapine showed the greatest increase in risk (HR = 1.92, 95% CI 1.10–3.33), followed by risperidone (HR = 1.66, 95%CI 1.01–2.74), quetiapine (HR = 1.18, 95% CI 0.77–1.82), and aripiprazole (HR = 0.88, 95%CI 0.42–1.86). Due to sample size limitations, stratified analyses and analyses of individual newer antipsychotics were considered exploratory and no formal interaction tests were performed.

Analyses of individual newer antipsychotics. When augmentation with individual newer antipsychotics was compared to

	Newer Antipsychotic Augmentation ^b		Antidep	ressant Augmentation ^c	Adjusted	Adjusted Rate Difference	
Deaths	Deaths	Incidence per 10,000 Person-Years	Deaths	Incidence per 10,000 Person-Years	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	(per 10,000 years of follow-up) (95% CI)	
Alld	105	138.1	48	83.8	1.45 (1.02 to 2.06)	37.7 (1.7 to 88.8)	
Natural	69	90.8	30	52.4	1.58 (1.02 to 2.45)	30.4 (1.0 to 76.0)	
Non-	68	89.5	28	48.9	1.65 (1.05 to 2.60)	31.8 (2.4 to 78.2)	
Cancer							
Unnatural	29	38.2	14	24.4	1.21 (0.63 to 2.34)	5.1 (-9.0 to 32.7)	

TABLE 2. Mortality according to underlying cause of death, $N = 39,582^{a}$

^a After propensity score trimming and inverse probability of treatment weighting

^b7,601 person years of follow-up

^c 5,727 person years of follow-up

^dincludes 11 deaths with unknown or missing cause of death.

Sensitivity analyses. Sensitivity analyses related to follow-up period, study period, and propensity score implementation, yielded consistent findings (Table 4). Adding discontinuation of the initial antidepressant to the censoring criteria for both treatment groups resulted in a loss of approximately 22% of follow-up time but did not meaningfully alter the results for all-cause mortality (HR = 1.47, 95%CI 0.95-2.28). Exclusion of patients with claims for mood stabilizers during the baseline period numerically increased the hazard ratio for all-cause mortality to 1.70 (95%CI 1.09-2.65). Results were sensitive to the implementation of propensity score trimming. In the untrimmed cohort, point estimates of the mortality hazard ratio moved towards the null hypothesis and hazard ratio confidence intervals crossed 1.0 (Table 4). Propensity score stratified analysis indicated homogenous mortality risk in the central propensity score strata but strong heterogeneity in the trimmed tails of the propensity score (eTable 6, S1 Appendix). Unadjusted results for all inferential analyses are shown in eTables 7-9 (S1 Appendix).

Analyses adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and index year were generally consistent with inverse probability of treatment-weighted results (eTable 10, S1 Appendix). A relative risk of approximately 3.8 linking a hypothetical confounder in 25% of the population to both newer antipsychotic use and mortality would be needed to fully explain the observed association in the primary analysis (eFig 2, S1 Appendix).

Discussion

Our study examined the mortality risk of newer antipsychotic augmentation for non-elderly adults with depression in a large cohort of US Medicaid insured patients. As compared to augmentation with an antidepressant, augmentation with a newer antipsychotic was associated with a 45% relative increase in mortality risk, equivalent, in our study population, to an absolute risk difference of 37.7 deaths per 10,000 person-years of treatment (0.38%/year). The magnitude of the observed relative increase in mortality risk is broadly similar to the findings of a meta-analysis of placebo-controlled randomized controlled trials for newer antipsychotics in elderly dementia patients (54%) (15), a finding that triggered a class-wide black box warning for

	Newer Antipsychotic Augmentation		Antidepressant Augmentation			Adjusted Pate Difference (per	
Subgroup	Deaths	Person Years	Deaths	Person Years	Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	10,000 years of follow-up) (95% CI)	
Age Group							
25 to 54	64	5,988	29	4,424	1.36 (0.86 to 2.13)	23.6 (-9.2 to 74.1)	
55 to 64	41	1,613	19	1,303	1.61 (0.92 to 2.80)	88.9 (-11.7 to 262.5)	
Sex							
Female	77	5,716	32	4,533	1.72 (1.13 to 2.63)	50.8 (9.2 to 115.1)	
Male	28	1,885	16	1,194	0.99 (0.52 to 1.87)	-1.3 (-64.3 to 116.6)	
Generic APM							
Quetiapine	38	3,021	51	5,600	1.18 (0.77 to 1.82)	16.4 (-20.9 to 74.7)	
Risperidone	25	1,568	50	5,669	1.66 (1.01 to 2.74)	58.2 (0.9 to 153.5)	
Aripiprazole	11	1,183	31	3,678	0.88 (0.42 to 1.86)	-10.1 (-48.9 to 72.5)	
Olanzapine	22	1,155	44	5,387	1.92 (1.10 to 3.33)	75.1 (8.2 to 190.3)	

TABLE 3. All-cause mortality by age group, sex, and individual newer antipsychotic medication, $N = 39,582^{a}$

^aAfter propensity score trimming and inverse probability of treatment weighting; 365 day maximum follow-up, as-treated

TABLE 4. Sensitivity analyses, N = 39,582

	Newer Antipsychotic Augmentation		Antider Augme	pressant entation		Adjusted Data Difference
	Deaths	Person Years	Deaths	Person Years	Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	(per 10,000 years of follow-up) (95% CI)
Follow-up specification ^a						
365-day follow-up As-Treated	105	7,601	48	5,727	1.45 (1.02 to 2.06)	37.7 (1.7 to 88.8)
365-day follow-up ITT	264	19,798	135	15,204	1.26 (1.02 to 1.56)	23.1 (1.8 to 49.7)
180-day follow-up As-Treated	79	5,848	35	4,442	1.47 (0.98 to 2.22)	37.0 (-1.6 to 96.1)
180-day follow-up ITT	142	10,400	64	7,986	1.40 (1.04 to 1.90)	32.1 (3.2 to 72.1)
All days in study period As-Treated	137	9,916	71	7,289	1.26 (0.94 to 1.69)	25.3 (-5.8 to 67.2)
Censored at baseline antidepressant discontinuation	72	5,872	31	4,463	1.47 (0.95 to 2.28)	32.6 (-3.5 to 88.9)
Exclusion criteria ^a						
Excluding mood stabilizer use during baseline period Index Year ^a	68	4,987	29	4,179	1.70 (1.09 to 2.65)	48.6 (6.2 to 114.5)
2007–2010 PS Analysis ^b	37	2,540	11	1,767	1.97 (0.98 to 3.94)	66.0 (2.5 to 191.1)
PS decile-adjusted, trimmed	105	7,601	48	5,727	1.47 (1.04 to 2.08)	39.4 (3.4 to 90.5)
1:1 PS matched ($N = 33,502$) PS Trimming ^b	74	5,669	54	5,583	1.35 (0.95 to 1.91)	33.9 (-4.8 to 88.0)
Untrimmed, IPT-weighted $(N = 44,301)$	120	8,641	57	6,351	1.21 (0.87 to 1.69)	18.8 (-11.7 to 61.9)
Untrimmed, PS decile- adjusted ($N = 44,301$)	120	8,641	57	6,351	1.31 (0.95 to 1.81)	27.8 (-4.5 to 72.7)

^a After propensity score trimming and inverse probability of treatment weighting

^b365 day maximum follow-up, as-treated; PS, propensity score; IPT, inverse probability of treatment

newer antipsychotics by the US Food and Drug Administration (37).

Although the absolute mortality risk in patients with depression is markedly lower than in elderly patients with dementia, the magnitude of excess risk in the non-elderly depression group is substantial and warrants careful clinical consideration. A mortality rate difference of 37.7 per 10,000 years of follow-up corresponds to a number-needed-to-harm of approximately 265 per year. For higher risk subgroups the number-needed-to-harm decreases substantially, e.g., to 112 for patients between 55 and 64 years of age. Because of the small-to-moderate-size benefits of newer antipsychotic augmentation (meta analyses of newer antipsychotic augmentation RCTs estimate a number-needed-to-treat for remission of about 9) and lack of demonstrated benefit with regards to quality of life or functional impairment (11, 18), the additional mortality risk associated with newer antipsychotics is of great clinical relevance. This is particularly the case for higher risk subgroups, and especially considering that nearly two-thirds of patients initiating newer antipsychotics for depression in the United States do not first receive a full antidepressant trial (21).

Stratified analyses suggest potential clinically relevant heterogeneity by age, sex and choice of newer antipsychotic, with particularly high absolute risk differences in patients 55 to 64 years of age, women, and patients treated with olanzapine or risperidone. Importantly, the study's power to examine these subgroups was limited and stratified results should be considered as hypothesis generating until refuted or confirmed by future research. Newer antipsychotic augmentation showed an association with increased risk for mortality in women but not in men. Although this finding may reflect chance, prior reports have suggested several adverse effects of antipsychotic medications, including weight gain, diabetes, and cardiovascular death may disproportionately affect women (38). Further study is required to place the sex-stratified findings in context and elucidate potential mechanisms. There were also marked risk differences between individual newer antipsychotics. A higher mortality risk for olanzapine and risperidone than for quetiapine and aripiprazole aligns with studies in elderly patients with and without dementia (39-41), and with late life bipolar disorder (42), but findings require independent refutation or confirmation.

When we restricted the analyses to mortality from natural causes or to non-cancer mortality, the point estimates increased and remained statistically significant. The estimate for unnatural death was numerically small and not statistically significant. Sample size limitations prevented examination of specific causes of death. In contrast to some prior studies in older adults (39, 40), we found no strong evidence of a dose-response. However, these analyses used

FIGURE 2. Study population and design

Treatment (index) 180-day baseline period	t initiation (date) 365-day follow-up
Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria: • Depression diagnosis • No elementing ADM indications • Stable AD treatment • Stable AD treatment	Augmentation with a Newer Antipsychotic
 Age 25 to 64 at index date No APM fills Medicaid eligibility 25 to 33 phot to index date AD monotherapy ≥60 days prior to index date 	Augmentation with a 2 nd Antidepressant Antidepressant

AD, antidepressant; atypical antidepressants (bupropion, mirtazapine, nefazodone, trazodone); SNRIs, serotonin noradrenalin reuptake inhibitors (desvenlafaxine, duloxetine, milnacipran, venlafaxine); SSRIs, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (including citalopram, escitalopram, fluoxetine, flu-voxamine, paroxetine, sertraline); other antidepressants (amitriptyline, amoxapine, clomipramine, desipramine, doxepin, imipramine, isocarboxazid, maprotiline, nortriptyline, protriptyline, tranylcypromine, trimipramine).

chlorpromazine equivalents, which are based on expert opinion rather than scientifically validated methodology, and were solely based on the initial newer antipsychotic dose ignoring dose titration over follow-up, and thus unable to identify anything other than a substantial dose-response effect.

We selected augmentation treatment with a second antidepressant as the comparator group for this study as a means of controlling by study design for confounding by indication (Fig 2). This approach assures that both treatment groups are solely comprised of patients who initiated one of two augmentation treatments after at least 60 days on antidepressant monotherapy (a proxy measure for inadequate response to the baseline treatment). Although augmentation with a second antidepressant does not constitute a FDAapproved treatment strategy, it is widely used in clinical practice and the most suitable active comparator for our study. The consequence is that our results are relative to this treatment alternative. In other words, it is possible that we overestimated the mortality risk of newer antipsychotic augmentation (in case antidepressant augmentation reduces mortality risk) or that we underestimated the true mortality risk of newer antipsychotic augmentation (in case antidepressant augmentation increases mortality risk). However, to our knowledge there is currently no evidence to suggest that antidepressant augmentation affects mortality risk in patients with treatment resistant depression.

Our study had some limitations. First, as a nonrandomized study, residual confounding by factors associated with both newer antipsychotic use and increased risk of death, such as unmeasured psychotic symptoms, cannot be completely excluded. However, quantitative sensitivity analysis demonstrates that a strong and prevalent unmeasured confounder would be needed to fully explain the observed association. Second, study results were sensitive to the protocol-specified implementation of propensity score trimming, which excluded a small proportion of the study population in the tails of the propensity score distribution due to concerns for unmeasured confounding. However, this approach was supported by the strong heterogeneity of newer antipsychotic-associated mortality risk in the extremes of the propensity score distribution (eTable 6, S1 Appendix). Third, the limited number of deaths did not allow a more detailed examination of cause-specific mortality that may have provided insight into biologic mechanisms. Fourth, although the inclusion criteria required a refill of the initial antidepressant on the day of the index fill of the second antidepressant to maximize the likelihood that the intent was augmentation with a second antidepressant, some patients may have initiated the second antidepressant with the intent to switch antidepressants, which could capture patients with a somewhat lower severity of depression in the antidepressant augmentation group than the antipsychotic augmentation group. Finally, the results, which are confined to the US Medicaid population, may not generalize to other populations of non-elderly adults who are treated for depression.

Conclusions

Our study suggests that augmentation with newer antipsychotics for depression may carry a mortality risk. These results warrant replication, ideally with a carefully designed publicly financed pragmatic RCT. The findings support careful consideration of this risk in relation to the limited known benefits of newer antipsychotics as adjuvants in treatment-resistant adult depression. The results further suggest use of newer antipsychotics only after non-response to evidence-based treatment options that are less risky.

REFERENCES

- Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2013; 382(9904):1575–1586.
- Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR*D report. Am J Psychiatry. 2006; 163(11):1905–1917.
- 3. Philip NS, Carpenter LL, Tyrka AR, Price LH. Pharmacologic approaches to treatment resistant depression: a re-examination for the modern era. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2010; 11(5):709–722.

- 4. Thase ME. Antidepressant combinations: widely used, but far from empirically validated. Can J Psychiatry. 2011; 56(6):317–323.
- Blier P, Gobbi G, Turcotte JE, et al. Mirtazapine and paroxetine in major depression: a comparison of monotherapy versus their combination from treatment initiation. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2009; 19(7):457–465
- Blier P, Ward HE, Tremblay P, Laberge L, Hebert C, Bergeron R. Combination of antidepressant medications from treatment initiation for major depressive disorder: a double-blind randomized study. Am J Psychiatry. 2010; 167(3):281–288
- Carpenter LL, Yasmin S, Price LH. A double-blind, placebocontrolled study of antidepressant augmentation with mirtazapine. Biol Psychiatry. 2002; 51(2):183–188
- Trivedi MH, Fava M, Wisniewski SR, et al. Medication augmentation after the failure of SSRIs for depression. N Engl J Med. 2006; 354(12):1243–1252
- Han C, Wang SM, Kato M, et al. Second-generation antipsychotics in the treatment of major depressive disorder: current evidence. Expert Rev Neurother. 2013; 13(7):851–870
- Simons P, Cosgrove L, Shaughnessy AF, Bursztajn H. Antipsychotic augmentation for major depressive disorder: A review of clinical practice guidelines. Int J Law Psychiatry. 2017; 55:64–71
- Spielmans GI, Berman MI, Linardatos E, Rosenlicht NZ, Perry A, Tsai AC. Adjunctive atypical antipsychotic treatment for major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis of depression, quality of life, and safety outcomes. PLoS Med. 2013; 10(3):e1001403
- Therapeutics Initiative. Antipsychotics should not be used for nonpsychotic depression. Therapeutics Letter. Jul–Aug 2015. https:// www.ti.ubc.ca/2015/09/30/antipsychotics-should-not-be-used-fornonpsychotic-depression/ Accessed August 10, 2020.
- De Hert M, Detraux J, van Winkel R, Yu W, Correll CU. Metabolic and cardiovascular adverse effects associated with antipsychotic drugs. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2011; 8(2):114–126
- Carbon M, Kane JM, Leucht S, Correll CU. Tardive dyskinesia risk with first- and second-generation antipsychotics in comparative randomized controlled trials: a meta-analysis. World Psychiatry. 2018; 17(3):330–340.
- Schneider LS, Dagerman KS, Insel P. Risk of death with atypical antipsychotic drug treatment for dementia: meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. *JAMA*. 2005; 294(15):1934–1943.
- Ray WA, Chung CP, Murray KT, Hall K, Stein CM. Atypical antipsychotic drugs and the risk of sudden cardiac death. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360(3):225–235.
- Mohamed S, Johnson GR, Chen P, et al. Effect of Antidepressant Switching vs Augmentation on Remission Among Patients With Major Depressive Disorder Unresponsive to Antidepressant Treatment: The VAST-D Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2017; 318(2):132–145.
- Nelson JC, Papakostas GI. Atypical antipsychotic augmentation in major depressive disorder: a metaanalysis of placebo-controlled randomized trials. Am J Psychiatry. 2009; 166(9):980–991.
- Zhou X, Keitner GI, Qin B, et al. Atypical Antipsychotic Augmentation for Treatment-Resistant Depression: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2015; 18(11): pyv060.
- Gerhard T, Akincigil A, Correll CU, Foglio NJ, Crystal S, Olfson M. National trends in second-generation antipsychotic augmentation for nonpsychotic depression. J Clin Psychiatry. 2014; 75(5):490–497.
- Gerhard T, Stroup TS, Correll CU, et al. Antipsychotic Medication Treatment Patterns in Adult Depression. J Clin Psychiatry. 2018; 79(2).
- 22. Mohamed S, Leslie DL, Rosenheck RA. Use of antipsychotics in the treatment of major depressive disorder in the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. J Clin Psychiatry. 2009; 70(6):906–912.

- 23. Rhee TG, Mohamed S, Rosenheck RA. Antipsychotic Prescriptions Among Adults With Major Depressive Disorder in Office-Based Outpatient Settings: National Trends From 2006 to 2015. J Clin Psychiatry. 2018; 79(2).
- 24. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicaid Analytic eXtract (MAX) General Information. Available: https://www.cms. gov/MedicaidDataSourcesGenInfo/07_MAXGeneralInformation. asp Accessed August 10, 2020.
- Franklin JM, Schneeweiss S. When and How Can Real World Data Analyses Substitute for Randomized Controlled Trials? Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics. 2017; 102(6):924–933.
- Lash TL, Silliman RA. A comparison of the National Death Index and Social Security Administration databases to ascertain vital status. *Epidemiology*. 2001; 12(2):259–261.
- Andreasen NC, Pressler M, Nopoulos P, Miller D, Ho BC. Antipsychotic dose equivalents and doseyears: a standardized method for comparing exposure to different drugs. Biol Psychiatry. 2010; 67(3):255–262.
- Rich-Edwards JW, Corsano KA, Stampfer MJ. Test of the National Death Index and Equifax Nationwide Death Search. Am J Epidemiol. 1994; 140(11):1016–1019.
- Rosenbaum PR, Rubin DB. The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects. Biometrika. 1983; 70: 41–55.
- Brookhart MA, Wyss R, Layton JB, Sturner T. Propensity Score Methods for Confounding Control in Nonexperimental Research. Circ-Cardiovasc Qual. 2013; 6(5):604–611.
- Sturmer T, Rothman KJ, Avorn J, Glynn RJ. Treatment effects in the presence of unmeasured confounding: dealing with observations in the tails of the propensity score distribution—a simulation study. Am J Epidemiol. 2010; 172(7):843–854. https://doi.org/10. 1093/aje/kwq198
- Joffe MM, Ten Have TR, Feldman HI, Kimmel SE. Model selection, confounder control, and marginal structural models: Review and new applications. Am Stat. 2004; 58(4):272–279.
- Ray WA, Chung CP, Murray KT, Hall K, Stein CM. Prescription of Long-Acting Opioids and Mortality in Patients With Chronic Noncancer Pain. JAMA. 2016; 315(22):2415–2423.
- Bayes AJ, Parker GB. Comparison of guidelines for the treatment of unipolar depression: a focus on pharmacotherapy and neurostimulation. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2018; 137(6):459–471.
- Parson LS: Using SAS Software to Perform a Case-Control Match on Propensity Score in an Observational Study. Seattle, Wash, SAS, 2001.
- 36. Schneeweiss S. Sensitivity analysis and external adjustment for unmeasured confounders in epidemiologic database studies of therapeutics. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2006; 15(5):291–303.
- Dorsey ER, Rabbani A, Gallagher SA, Conti RM, Alexander GC. Impact of FDA black box advisory on antipsychotic medication use. Arch Intern Med. 2010; 170(1):96–103.
- Seeman MV. Secondary effects of antipsychotics: women at greater risk than men. Schizophr Bull. 2009; 35(5):937–948.
- Gerhard T, Huybrechts K, Olfson M, et al. Comparative mortality risks of antipsychotic medications in community-dwelling older adults. Br J Psychiatry. 2014; 205(1):44–51.
- Huybrechts KF, Gerhard T, Crystal S, et al. Differential risk of death in older residents in nursing homes prescribed specific antipsychotic drugs: population based cohort study. BMJ. 2012; 344:e977.
- Maust DT, Kim HM, Seyfried LS, et al. Antipsychotics, other psychotropics, and the risk of death in patients with dementia: number needed to harm. JAMA Psychiatry. 2015; 72(5):438–445.
- Bhalerao S, Seyfried LS, Kim HM, Chiang C, Kavanagh J, Kales HC. Mortality risk with the use of atypical antipsychotics in laterlife bipolar disorder. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol. 2012; 25(1): 29–36.